



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20531
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09 716,819	11/20/2000	Alfred D. Ducharme	C1104 7061	9031

23628 7590 11/07/2002

WOLF GREENFIELD & SACKS, PC
FEDERAL RESERVE PLAZA
600 ATLANTIC AVENUE
BOSTON, MA 02210-2211

EXAMINER

LEE, Y MY QUACH

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2875

DATE MAILED: 11/07/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Interview Summary

Application No.

09/716,789

Applicant(s)

Ducharme et al.

Examiner

Y Quach Lee

Art Unit

2875



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Y Quach Lee

(3) _____

(2) Mr. Joseph Teja, Reg. # 45157 & Mr. Mark Johannes

(4) _____

Date of Interview Oct 29, 2002Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conferencec) ☐ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If yes, brief description:Claim(s) discussed: 1, 15-17, 20, 23-25, 34-66, 74, 80, and 85

Identification of prior art discussed:

NoneAgreement with respect to the claims f) _____ was reached. g) _____ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Applicant's representatives proposed amendment to claim 1 by incorporating the limitations of claim 15 to claim 1. Claim 16 will be combined with claim 1 to form an independent claim. Claims 17, 20 and 23 will be combined together to form another independent claim of which some new dependent claims will be filed. Some new dependent claims will be filed, and these claims will depend on claim 25. Some limitations will be added to claims 66, 80 and 85 to narrow these claims. Supports for all these changes with respect to the specification will be provided and explained in the amendment. The rejection of claim 74 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, was discussed. Claim 74 will be corrected and limitation(s) will be added to claim 66 to overcome this rejection. Claims 24 and 34 to 65 will be cancelled as being drawn to a nonelected invention. Upon submission of a proper and formal amendment, this application will be reconsidered in light of the amendments and remarks contained therein, and subject to an update and/or further search.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

() It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04) If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required